

Instructor Name:	Term:	Evaluation Type:	Class Description:
Ivan Willis Rasmussen	Fall 2017	Final	SOCS-SHU 160-001 (22182) - Intro - International Politics (Lecture)

17 out of 19 students eligible to evaluate completed the survey.

Survey Response Rate: 89.5%

Question	Average	Standard Deviation	Response % of 2 Highest Ratings	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	Answer	# of Responses to the Answer	Answer %
Overall evaluation of the instructor(s).	4.9	0.3	100.0%	17	100.0%	Very Poor	0	0.0%
						Poor	0	0.0%
						Adequate	0	0.0%
						Good	2	11.8%
						Excellent	15	88.2%
Overall evaluation of the course.	4.8	0.4	100.0%	17	100.0%	Very Poor	0	0.0%
						Poor	0	0.0%
						Adequate	0	0.0%
						Good	3	17.6%
						Excellent	14	82.4%
The instructor(s) provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	4.9	0.3	100.0%	17	100.0%	Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
						Disagree	0	0.0%
						Neutral	0	0.0%
						Agree	2	11.8%
						Strongly Agree	15	88.2%
The instructor(s) provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	4.7	0.5	100.0%	17	100.0%	Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
						Disagree	0	0.0%
						Neutral	0	0.0%
						Agree	5	29.4%
						Strongly Agree	12	70.6%

Question	Average	Standard Deviation	Response % of 2 Highest Ratings	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	Answer	# of Responses to the Answer	Answer %
The course objectives were clearly stated.	4.9	0.3	100.0%	17	100.0%	Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
						Disagree	0	0.0%
						Neutral	0	0.0%
						Agree	2	11.8%
						Strongly Agree	15	88.2%
The course was well organized.	4.9	0.3	100.0%	17	100.0%	Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
						Disagree	0	0.0%
						Neutral	0	0.0%
						Agree	2	11.8%
						Strongly Agree	15	88.2%
The course was intellectually stimulating.	4.9	0.3	100.0%	17	100.0%	Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
						Disagree	0	0.0%
						Neutral	0	0.0%
						Agree	2	11.8%
						Strongly Agree	15	88.2%

Question	Average	Standard Deviation	Response % of 2 Highest Ratings	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	Answer	# of Responses to the Answer	Answer %
My primary reason for taking this course:				17	100.0%	Advisor recommendation	0	0.0%
						Other specific degree requirement	0	0.0%
						Elective credits required for a degree	0	0.0%
						Instructor(s) reputation	0	0.0%
						General interest in subject matter	12	70.6%
						Major requirement	4	23.5%
						Minor requirement	1	5.9%
						Non-degree requirement	0	0.0%
						Peer recommendation	0	0.0%

Course Comments

How many hours a week did you work on this course? (Optional)

- 1
- 3-4
- Three to four hours
- 3-5 hours

- Depends on whether there's a a major assignment or exam, but at least more than two hours on readings
- 4-5 hours
- 10

What grade do you expect to earn in this course? (Optional)

- A- or A
- A-
- A- or A
- B
- Over B plus
- A
- A-
- A- to A
- B+ - A

Instructor Comments

What about the course or the instructor was effective in helping you learn? (Optional)

- Professor Rasmussen is amazing! He's very engaging in the class

- The instructor provided very clear objectives for each lesson, and made sure he repeated main points each time.
- The professor gives us excellent readings, covers all important aspects of them and makes sure his students are included in the conversation. He is always available for questions and is great at explaining IP. Readings and classes are intellectually stimulating and fun. The examples are contemporary, covering important world issues, vital for our understanding of international politics.
- The instructor was very clear in class and each class was intellectually stimulating.
- The enthusiasm of the professor made the class more interesting. Explained the materials thoroughly.
- Different ways of presenting information and the activities
- The way the classroom environment was set up was awesome and facilitated my learning.
- Super helpful and humorous, having successfully created a relaxing learning environment
- In class lecturing and office hours. The course readings were very interesting and engaging.
- His lecture is very logic and full of examples to help us understand the abstract theories.
- The course is well structured. The instructor answers questions clearly and make everything transparent.
- Well organized, and the instructor is very enthusiastic

What would you suggest to improve the course? (Optional)

- I would want to see more debates or even essays. The midterm basically involved memorizing some terms, which I found highly disappointing.
- I would suggest possibly making the simulation longer, cause that was the part of the course I feel I gained the most from.

- 0 complaints from my end :)
- Making the simulation longer (and include another if possible)
- More motivation to complete readings in time.
- Handwriting in class...
- Maybe have more assignments to make sure students are keeping up
- Give mid term participation grades
- More time dedicated to the simulation, even if it means another class every week if possible.